

Magnetic moment type of lifting from particle dynamics to Vlasov-Maxwell dynamics

P. J. Morrison*

*Department of Physics and Institute for Fusion Studies,
University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA*

M. Vittot[†] and L. de Guillebon[‡]

*Centre de Physique Theorique
Aix-Marseille Universite, CNRS, CPT
UMR 7332, 13288 Marseille, France*

and

*Universite de Toulon, CNRS, CPT
UMR 7332, 83957 La Garde, France*

Abstract

Techniques for coordinate changes that depend on both dependent and independent variables are developed and applied to the Maxwell-Vlasov Hamiltonian theory. Particle coordinate changes with a new velocity variable dependent on the magnetic field, with spatial coordinates unchanged, are lifted to transform the noncanonical Poisson bracket and, thus, the field Hamiltonian structure of the Vlasov-Maxwell equation. Several examples are given including magnetic coordinates, where the velocity is decomposed into components parallel and perpendicular to the local magnetic field, and the case of spherical velocity coordinates. An example of the lifting procedure is performed to obtain a simplified version of gyrokinetics, where the magnetic moment is used as a coordinate and the dynamics is reduced by elimination of the electric field energy in the Hamiltonian.

Key Words: Maxwell-Vlasov, Hamiltonian, noncanonical Poisson bracket, lift, magnetic moment, guiding-center reduction, gyrokinetics.

* morrison@physics.utexas.edu

† michel.vittot@cpt.univ-mrs.fr

‡ loic.de-guillebon@cpt.univ-mrs.fr

I. INTRODUCTION

Perturbation theory in the context of Hamiltonian dynamics has proved to be unquestionably useful in many contexts, ranging from celestial mechanics (e.g. [1]), to atomic physics (e.g. [2]), to plasma physics (e.g. [3]). The superconvergent expansions of Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser theorem (e.g. [4]) and the techniques of adiabatic invariance (e.g. [5]) all are aspects of perturbation theory in the Hamiltonian context. Although such techniques are well-developed and well-known for finite-dimensional systems, this is not the case for such perturbation theories for partial differential equations. This is particularly true for Hamiltonian systems with noncanonical Poisson brackets of the form of those given in [6–8] for plasma systems. A main goal of the present paper is to provide tools for such perturbation theory using the Poisson bracket for Vlasov-Maxwell equations [7, 9–12] in situations with a short time scale introduced by the presence of a strong magnetic field.

Derivations of gyrokinetic theories have proceeded directly from the Vlasov-Maxwell equations of motion as in the nonlinear development of [13], they have been based on Hamiltonian particle orbit perturbation theory that is lifted up to the kinetic level as in the linear development of [14], or they have incorporated both particle orbit and kinetic perturbations to arrive at a nonlinear theory [15]. (See [16, 17] for review.) None of these procedures parallels that for finite-dimensional Hamiltonian systems that has historically achieved such great success. Consequently, none of these theories obtain an infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian form as a consequence of their method of derivation. In fact, at present it is not known if nonlinear gyrokinetics has Hamiltonian form, the form possessed by all of the important systems of plasma physics when dissipative terms are neglected. (For review of Hamiltonian structure and techniques see [7, 8, 18]).

To effect an infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian gyrokinetic-like perturbation theory requires a sequence of coordinate changes that involves both the dependent (field) variables and their arguments, which are independent variables from the point of view of the Hamiltonian structure. This complicates matters significantly and care must be taken when performing transformations, most notably with the chain rule. Because the Vlasov-Maxwell theory has fields of mixed type, the electromagnetic fields depending on a space variable and the distribution function depending on a phase space variable, and because these fields are not a usual canonical set, the situation is further complicated. In the present paper

the intricacies of this kind of transformation and associated chain rule are described, which enables the Hamiltonian perturbation theory. The techniques are then applied to obtain a simplified version of gyrokinetics (guiding center kinetics), which considers the presence of a conserved magnetic moment, as a first step for more general gyrokinetic reduction, e.g. by using the intrinsic coordinates developed in [19], that will be considered elsewhere.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II some preliminary material needed for the subsequent development is described. This is followed by four sections where several specific transformations are considered. It is shown how to lift these coordinate transformations, which are tailored to particle orbit dynamics, up to the level of fields by detailing how to transform the Vlasov-Maxwell Poisson bracket into the new coordinates. Lifting in this context is a natural relative of that treated in Ref. [12], a companion paper that treats the lifting of microscopic particle dynamics up to the field level. Section III considers magnetic coordinates, where the particle velocity coordinate is projected parallel and perpendicular to a space-dependent dynamic magnetic field. Because the new particle coordinates depend on the field, two chain rules must be considered: the usual function chain rule for phase space coordinates, where the field is assumed to be a given, and the chain rule for functionals which is needed for transforming the field theoretic Poisson bracket. Next, in Sec. IV, spherical velocity coordinates are considered. Here the velocity coordinates are chosen as the unit vector of the velocity (independent of the spatial coordinates) and a coordinate in one-to-one correspondence with the norm of the velocity. This transformation is a step closer to that needed for gyrokinetics where one introduces the magnetic moment, and it introduces a new feature in that the Jacobian determinant of the transformation is no longer unity. Thus, it provides a simple example for explaining how functional derivatives change when Jacobians change. In Sec. V, we turn to a more complete case where the change of coordinates depends only on the local value of the magnetic field, as a precursor to Sec. VI that considers the physically important situation, where the change of coordinates involves spatial derivatives of the magnetic field to arbitrary order, i.e., as given by Eq. (1) below. With the techniques of the previous four sections in hand, in Sec. VII we treat an example where the reduced coordinate is indeed the magnetic moment and explicitly transform the Hamiltonian form of the Vlasov-Maxwell equation into the new coordinates. Finally, in Sec. VIII, we conclude.

II. PRELIMINARY MATERIAL

From a general point of view, a main purpose of this paper is to transform the Vlasov-Maxwell Hamiltonian structure when the phase space variables (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v}) are changed to the following new coordinates that depend on the magnetic field and all of its derivatives:

$$\bar{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{q}, \quad \bar{\mathbf{v}} = \bar{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v}; \mathbf{B}, \nabla \mathbf{B}, \dots). \quad (1)$$

For the noncanonical Hamiltonian structure of Vlasov-Maxwell dynamics, the observables are the set of all functionals of the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{q})$, the electric field $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{q})$, and the phase space density $f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v})$, where the time variable has been suppressed. The Poisson bracket is [7, 9–11]:

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, G\} = & \int d^3q d^3v f [F_f, G_f] \\ & + e \int d^3q d^3v f (G_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{v}} F_f - F_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{v}} G_f) \\ & + \int d^3q (F_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \times G_{\mathbf{B}} - G_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \times F_{\mathbf{B}}), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where subscripts are used for functional derivatives, $F_f := \delta F / \delta f$, $F_{\mathbf{E}} := \delta F / \delta \mathbf{E}$, etc., and the particle bracket is $[f, g] = \nabla f \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{v}} g - \nabla g \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{v}} f + e \mathbf{B} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{v}} f \times \partial_{\mathbf{v}} g$, with $\nabla f = \partial f / \partial \mathbf{q}$ and $\partial_{\mathbf{v}} g = \partial g / \partial \mathbf{v}$. For the sake of simplicity physical constants have been scaled away as usual, but a dimensionless charge variable e that indicates the coupling term has been retained (see [12] for a dimensional form of this bracket). The variable e becomes the charge ratios when (2) is generalized by summing over multiple species.

The Hamiltonian functional is

$$H[\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}, f] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3q d^3v \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 f + \frac{1}{2} \int d^3q (\|\mathbf{E}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{B}\|^2), \quad (3)$$

which is the sum of the kinetic energy of the plasma and the energy of the electromagnetic field. The relativistic model is obtained by replacing $\|\mathbf{v}\|^2$ in the kinetic energy term with $\sqrt{1 + \|\mathbf{v}\|^2}$, where in the latter case \mathbf{v} is the scaled relativistic momentum. The coupling between the plasma and electromagnetic field is included in the noncanonical Poisson bracket (2). The Hamiltonian (3) together with the Poisson bracket generates the motion through Hamilton's equations expressed as

$$\dot{F} = \{F, H\},$$

for any observable F . In particular, if F denotes the field variables the bracket induces Maxwell-Vlasov equations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathbf{B}} &= \{\mathbf{B}, H\} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \\ \dot{\mathbf{E}} &= \{\mathbf{E}, H\} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - e \int d^3v f \mathbf{v}, \\ \dot{f} &= \{f, H\} = -\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f - e (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{v}} f.\end{aligned}$$

As noted in Sec. I, in order to transform the Hamiltonian structure to facilitate the separation or removal of fast time scales (as in oscillating-center, guiding-center, and gyrokinetic theories) care must be taken because such a change of coordinates involves both the dependent and independent variables, i.e., the spatial observation points of the field. A simple case of this is treated in the next section.

III. LIFTING WITH MAGNETIC COORDINATES

As a first case of lifting, consider velocity coordinates based on a decomposition of the velocity using the magnetic field. This transformation of the spatial coordinate is unchanged, but the velocity \mathbf{v} is transformed as follows:

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(\bar{\mathbf{v}}; \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{v}(v_{\parallel}, \mathbf{v}_{\perp}; \mathbf{B}) = \hat{\mathbf{b}} v_{\parallel} + \mathbf{v}_{\perp},$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{B}/\|\mathbf{B}\|$ is the unit vector the direction of the magnetic field,

$$v_{\parallel} = \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{v}$$

is the (scalar) component of the velocity parallel to the magnetic field, and

$$\mathbf{v}_{\perp} = \mathbf{v} - \hat{\mathbf{b}} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \bar{\bar{I}}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{v}$$

is the (vectorial) component of the velocity perpendicular to the magnetic field, with

$$\bar{\bar{I}}_{\perp} := \bar{\bar{I}} - \hat{\mathbf{b}} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \tag{4}$$

being the orthogonal projector onto the plane perpendicular to the magnetic field.

There are two chain rules to consider: that for functions, considered next, and that for functionals, such as the energy expression of (3), which will follow.

A. Function chain rule

The transformation of the field Poisson bracket of (2) requires the transformation of the particle bracket,

$$[f, g] = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{v}} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} + e\mathbf{B} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \times \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \right), \quad (5)$$

into the new coordinates, $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{q}, v_{\parallel}, \mathbf{v}_{\perp})$. The following abbreviations are convenient:

$$\nabla := \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{q}}, \quad \partial_i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i}, \quad \partial_{\parallel} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_{\parallel}}, \quad \partial_{\perp} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}_{\perp}}.$$

Note the last operator acts only in the plane perpendicular to \mathbf{B} , which implies the following properties:

$$\partial_{\perp} \bar{f} \cdot \bar{I}_{\perp} = \partial_{\perp} \bar{f} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \partial_{\perp} \bar{f} = 0.$$

Total variations of $f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v}) = \bar{f}(\mathbf{q}, v_{\parallel}, \mathbf{v}_{\perp})$ are given by

$$\delta f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{q} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{v} = \nabla \bar{f} \cdot \delta \mathbf{q} + \partial_{\parallel} \bar{f} \cdot \delta v_{\parallel} + \partial_{\perp} \bar{f} \cdot \delta \mathbf{v}_{\perp}, \quad (6)$$

while variations of the initial and final coordinates are related by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta v_{\parallel} &= \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{v} + (\delta \mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \mathbf{v}, \\ \delta \mathbf{v}_{\perp} &= \bar{I}_{\perp} \cdot \delta \mathbf{v} - \delta \bar{I}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{v} \\ &= \bar{I}_{\perp} \cdot \delta \mathbf{v} - (\delta \mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \hat{\mathbf{b}}) (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \\ &\quad - \hat{\mathbf{b}} (\delta \mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \mathbf{v}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

For the function chain rule the field \mathbf{B} is assumed to be a fixed function with the coordinates (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v}) changing.

Inserting (7) into (6) implies the chain rule relations

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = \hat{\mathbf{b}} \partial_{\parallel} \bar{f} + \partial_{\perp} \bar{f} \cdot \bar{I}_{\perp} = \hat{\mathbf{b}} \partial_{\parallel} \bar{f} + \partial_{\perp} \bar{f}, \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} = \partial_i \bar{f} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \partial_i \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \partial_{\parallel} \bar{f} - (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \partial_{\perp} \bar{f} \cdot \partial_i \hat{\mathbf{b}}, \quad (9)$$

and using (8) and (9) in (5) gives the particle bracket in the magnetic coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} [\bar{f}, \bar{g}] &= \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot (\nabla \bar{f} \partial_{\parallel} \bar{g} - \nabla \bar{g} \partial_{\parallel} \bar{f}) \\ &\quad + (\nabla \bar{f} \cdot \partial_{\perp} \bar{g} - \nabla \bar{g} \cdot \partial_{\perp} \bar{f}) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{a} \cdot (\partial_{\perp} \bar{g} \partial_{\parallel} \bar{f} - \partial_{\perp} \bar{f} \partial_{\parallel} \bar{g}) \\ &\quad + \partial_{\perp} \bar{f} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \partial_{\perp} \bar{g} + e\mathbf{B} \cdot (\partial_{\perp} \bar{f} \times \partial_{\perp} \bar{g}), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

with

$$a_i = \mathbf{v} \cdot \partial_i \hat{\mathbf{b}} + (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \partial \hat{\mathbf{b}}_i \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\bar{b}}_{ij} = (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \left(\partial_i \hat{\mathbf{b}}_j - \partial_j \hat{\mathbf{b}}_i \right) .$$

In all these relations, recall that $\partial_\perp \bar{f} = \partial_\perp \bar{f} \cdot \bar{\bar{I}}_\perp$. This is important because, for instance, the component of $\nabla \bar{g}$, \mathbf{a} or $\bar{\mathbf{b}}$ parallel to $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ are non-zero, but vanish when contracted with $\partial_\perp \bar{f}$.

B. Jacobian

In general care must be taken with the Jacobian determinant \mathcal{J} when defining functional derivatives, but here the Jacobian is unity

$$\mathcal{J} := \frac{\partial(\mathbf{q}, v_\parallel, \mathbf{v}_\perp)}{\partial(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v})} = 1 .$$

This follows because rotations have unit Jacobians and at any time there exists a rotation to a cartesian coordinate system with one of the \mathbf{v} axes aligned with $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$. Thus

$$dz := d^3 q d^3 v = d^3 q dv_\parallel d^2 v_\perp =: dq dv .$$

Because the volume integral is ultimately independent of how it is calculated, dz can be assumed to be independent of \mathbf{B} , e.g. when calculating functional derivatives with respect to \mathbf{B} , the topic considered next.

C. Functional chain rule

For the functional chain rule, the transformation of the fields must be made definite, Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{q}) &= \bar{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{q}) , & \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{q}) &= \bar{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{q}) , \\ f(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v}) &= \bar{f}(\mathbf{q}, v_\parallel, \mathbf{v}_\perp) = \bar{f}(\mathbf{q}, \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{v}, \bar{\bar{I}}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{v}) \\ &= f(\mathbf{q}, \hat{\mathbf{b}} v_\parallel + \mathbf{v}_\perp) , \end{aligned}$$

where now the coordinates (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v}) are fixed and the field $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ varies.

Variation of a transformed functional, $F[f, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{E}] = \bar{F}[\bar{f}, \bar{\mathbf{B}}, \bar{\mathbf{E}}]$, gives

$$\begin{aligned} \delta F &= \int dz F_f \delta f + \int dq (F_{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{B} + F_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{E}) \\ &= \int dz \bar{F}_{\bar{f}} \delta \bar{f} + \int dq (\bar{F}_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}} \cdot \delta \bar{\mathbf{B}} + \bar{F}_{\bar{\mathbf{E}}} \cdot \delta \bar{\mathbf{E}}) . \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

With the variations of the initial and final fields related by

$$\delta \mathbf{E} = \delta \bar{\mathbf{E}}, \quad \delta \mathbf{B} = \delta \bar{\mathbf{B}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \delta f = \delta \bar{f} + \partial_{\parallel} \bar{f} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \delta \hat{\mathbf{b}}) + \partial_{\perp} \bar{f} \cdot \delta \bar{\mathbf{I}}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{v}, \quad (12)$$

expressions relating functional derivatives of new and old variables can be obtained. Using

$$\delta \bar{\mathbf{I}}_{\perp} = -\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{B}\|} \left(\hat{\mathbf{b}} \bar{\mathbf{I}}_{\perp} \cdot \delta \mathbf{B} + \bar{\mathbf{I}}_{\perp} \cdot \delta \mathbf{B} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \right),$$

and after some work the last equation of (12) becomes

$$\delta f = \delta \bar{f} + \frac{(\mathbf{v}_{\perp} \cdot \delta \mathbf{B})}{\|\mathbf{B}\|} \partial_{\parallel} \bar{f} - \frac{v_{\parallel}}{\|\mathbf{B}\|} \delta \mathbf{B} \cdot \partial_{\perp} \bar{f}.$$

Inserting this and the other two equations of (12) into (11), and then equating coefficients, gives the functional chain rule relations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta F}{\delta f} &= \frac{\delta \bar{F}}{\delta \bar{f}}, \quad \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{E}} = \frac{\delta \bar{F}}{\delta \bar{\mathbf{E}}}, \\ \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{B}} &= \frac{\delta \bar{F}}{\delta \bar{\mathbf{B}}} + \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{B}\|} \int dv \frac{\delta F}{\delta \bar{f}} \partial_{\mathbf{v}}^* \bar{f}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where

$$\partial_{\mathbf{v}}^* := \mathbf{v}_{\perp} \partial_{\parallel} - v_{\parallel} \partial_{\perp}. \quad (14)$$

Finally, the Maxwell-Vlasov bracket expressed in these magnetic coordinates is

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, G\} &= \int dz f [F_f, G_f] \\ &+ e \int dz f (G_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{v}} F_f - F_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{v}} G_f) \\ &+ \int d^3 q \left(F_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \times \left[G_{\mathbf{B}} + \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{B}\|} \int dv G_f \partial_{\mathbf{v}}^* f \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - G_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \times \left[F_{\mathbf{B}} + \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{B}\|} \int dv F_f \partial_{\mathbf{v}}^* f \right] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where the ‘bars’ have been dropped, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ means the bracket of (5) rewritten in the new coordinates as (10), and $\partial_{\mathbf{v}} = \hat{\mathbf{b}} \partial_{\parallel} + \partial_{\perp}$ is a shorthand as in (8). Note, $\partial_{\mathbf{v}}^* v^2 = 0$.

IV. LIFTING SPHERICAL VELOCITY COORDINATES $\mathbf{v} = V \hat{\mathbf{v}}$

Now turn to the new coordinates considered for intrinsic gyrokinetics (used in [19]), which changes only one of the velocity coordinates to get the magnetic moment. The two other velocity coordinates are usually chosen as the unit vector of the velocity. So, a preliminary

change of coordinates consists in adopting spherical coordinates for the velocity space: $\mathbf{v} = V\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ where $V := \|\mathbf{v}\| \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is the norm of the velocity and $\hat{\mathbf{v}} := \mathbf{v}/\|\mathbf{v}\| \in S^2$ is the unit vector of the velocity. This transformation is considered in this section, but later the change $V \rightarrow \mu$ will be considered.

The transformation $\mathbf{v} \leftrightarrow (\hat{\mathbf{v}}, V)$ is clearly invertible. For the chain rule the following are needed:

$$\delta V = \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{v} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta \hat{\mathbf{v}} = \bar{\bar{\mathcal{I}}}_\perp \cdot \frac{\delta \mathbf{v}}{V},$$

where

$$\bar{\bar{\mathcal{I}}}_\perp = \bar{\bar{I}} - \hat{\mathbf{v}}\hat{\mathbf{v}}$$

is the orthogonal projector onto the plane perpendicular to the velocity. Note $\bar{\bar{\mathcal{I}}}_\perp$ is different from the magnetic projector \bar{I}_\perp of (4) used in Sec. III.

As in Sec. III the above are used to calculate the function chain rule, giving

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = \frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{v}}} \cdot \bar{\bar{\mathcal{I}}}_\perp + \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial V} \hat{\mathbf{v}}, \quad (16)$$

$$\nabla f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \nabla \bar{f}. \quad (17)$$

Inserting (16) and (17) into (5) and, after some manipulations, the particle bracket expressed in spherical coordinates is obtained

$$\begin{aligned} [f, g] = & \frac{1}{V} \left(\nabla f \cdot \bar{\bar{\mathcal{I}}}_\perp \cdot \partial_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} g - \nabla g \cdot \bar{\bar{\mathcal{I}}}_\perp \cdot \partial_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} f \right) \\ & + \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot (\nabla f \partial_V g - \nabla g \partial_V f) \\ & + \frac{e\mathbf{B}}{V^2} \cdot \left(\partial_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} f \cdot \bar{\bar{\mathcal{I}}}_\perp \right) \times \left(\partial_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} g \cdot \bar{\bar{\mathcal{I}}}_\perp \right) \\ & + \frac{e\mathbf{B} \times \hat{\mathbf{v}}}{V} \cdot (\partial_V f \partial_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} g - \partial_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} f \partial_V g), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where, for convenience, the ‘bars’ have been dropped and the abbreviations

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{v}}} =: \partial_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}} f \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial V} =: \partial_V f,$$

have been employed.

Turning to the functional chain rule, notice that the change of coordinates does not depend on the fields, but the Jacobian for this special case is not unity

$$dz = V^2 dV d\Omega d^3 q = \mathcal{J} dV d\Omega d^3 q =: \mathcal{J} d\eta d^3 q =: \mathcal{J} dw, \quad (19)$$

because the integration measures are changed from d^3v and dz to $d\eta$ and dw , which are defined by relation (19).

Thus, as above,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta F &= \int dz F_f \delta f + \int d^3q (F_{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{B} + F_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{E}) \\ &= \int dw \bar{F}_{\bar{f}} \delta \bar{f} + \int d^3q (\bar{F}_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}} \cdot \delta \bar{\mathbf{B}} + \bar{F}_{\bar{\mathbf{E}}} \cdot \delta \bar{\mathbf{E}}) .\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

Inserting (19) into (20) gives

$$F_f = \mathcal{J}^{-1} \bar{F}_{\bar{f}}, \quad F_{\mathbf{B}} = \bar{F}_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}}, \quad \text{and} \quad F_{\mathbf{E}} = \bar{F}_{\bar{\mathbf{E}}} .\tag{21}$$

Note, in (21) the new functional derivative is defined with respect to the *bare* measure dw .

So, the first term of the Maxwell-Vlasov bracket transforms as

$$\{F, G\}_1 := \int dz f [F_f, G_f] = \int dw \mathcal{J} \bar{f} [\mathcal{J}^{-1} \bar{F}_{\bar{f}}, \mathcal{J}^{-1} \bar{G}_{\bar{f}}] = \{\bar{F}, \bar{G}\}_1 ,\tag{22}$$

with the bracket of the second equality above given by (18).

The basic identity for this bracket with Jacobians, which replaces the usual ‘ f - g - h ’ identity for canonical brackets $\int dz f [g, h] = - \int dz g [f, h]$, is the following:

$$\int dw \mathcal{J} f [\mathcal{J}^{-1} g, h] = - \int dw g [f, h] .\tag{23}$$

In terms of the bare measure

$$\frac{\delta f(w)}{\delta f(w')} = \delta(w - w') .\tag{24}$$

The bracket of (22) with (23) and (24) produces the correct equations of motion for the Vlasov-Poisson system.

Now consider the coupling terms of the bracket

$$\begin{aligned}\{F, G\}_2 &:= e \int dz f (G_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{v}} F_f - F_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{v}} G_f) \\ &= e \int dw \mathcal{J} \bar{f} (G_{\bar{\mathbf{E}}} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{v}} \mathcal{J}^{-1} \bar{F}_{\bar{f}} - F_{\bar{\mathbf{E}}} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{v}} \mathcal{J}^{-1} \bar{G}_{\bar{f}}) ,\end{aligned}$$

where $\partial_{\mathbf{v}}$ is a shorthand for the expression of (16). When generating Maxwell’s equations, the Hamiltonian gives

$$\bar{H}_{\bar{f}} = \mathcal{J} \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 / 2 ,$$

which gives the correct expression for the current density $\mathbf{J} = \int d\eta \mathcal{J} f \mathbf{v}$.

Finally, the pure field terms of the Maxwell-Vlasov bracket are unchanged and, thus, the Maxwell-Vlasov bracket in these spherical coordinates becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\{F, G\} &= \int dw \mathcal{J} f [\mathcal{J}^{-1} F_f, \mathcal{J}^{-1} G_f] \\ &+ e \int dw \mathcal{J} f (G_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{v}} \mathcal{J}^{-1} F_f - F_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{v}} \mathcal{J}^{-1} G_f) \\ &+ \int d^3 q (F_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \times G_{\mathbf{B}} - G_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \times F_{\mathbf{B}}),\end{aligned}$$

where the ‘bars’ have been dropped, and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ means the bracket of (5) rewritten in the new coordinates as (18).

V. LIFTING WITH LOCAL DEPENDENCE ON \mathbf{B}

To include the magnetic moment in the coordinates, the next step is to investigate the coordinate transformation $V \leftrightarrow A$, where A is a coordinate in one-to-one correspondence with the coordinate V of Sec. IV, but in this section it is assumed to have local dependence on the magnetic field, i.e, it depends on \mathbf{B} but not its derivatives. Explicitly, the transformation is $(\mathbf{q}, V, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \leftrightarrow (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, A, \hat{\mathbf{w}})$ where

$$\mathbf{q} = \bar{\mathbf{q}}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{v}} = \hat{\mathbf{w}}, \quad \text{and} \quad V = V(A, \hat{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{B}).$$

Clearly, invertibility requires $V_A := \partial V / \partial A \neq 0$. Since the first two equations above are identities, eventually $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ will be used for $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$ and \mathbf{q} for $\bar{\mathbf{q}}$.

The Jacobian for this transformation is now

$$\begin{aligned}dz &= V^2 dV d\Omega d^3 q = V^2 V_A dA d\Omega d^3 q \\ &= \mathcal{J} dA d\Omega d^3 q =: \mathcal{J} d\eta d^3 q =: \mathcal{J} dw,\end{aligned}$$

which define the Jacobian \mathcal{J} and the integration measures $d\eta$ and dw . Note that these are not the same as those of Sec. IV, even though the same symbols are used. Furthermore, \mathcal{J} now depends on \mathbf{B} and, hence, \mathbf{q} . Also, $d\Omega$ contains a portion of the Jacobian from cartesian coordinates, but one that is independent of \mathbf{q} .

Now the chain rule is effected on functions analogous to (8)-(9) and (16)-(17) and on functionals analogous to (13) and (21). Varying $f(\mathbf{q}, V, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) = \bar{f}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, A, \hat{\mathbf{w}})$ in the label (coordinates)

dependence, and then equating as above, gives

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \bar{\mathbf{q}}} - \frac{V_{B_i}}{V_A} \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial A}, \quad (25)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial V} = \frac{1}{V_A} \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial A}, \quad (26)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{v}}} = \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{w}}} - \frac{1}{V_A} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{v}}} \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial A}. \quad (27)$$

Inserting (26) and (27) into (16) gives the chain rule on functions

$$\begin{aligned} D_* \bar{f} &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{w}}} - \frac{1}{V_A} \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial A} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{w}}} \right) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{I}}_\perp + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{w}}}{V_A} \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial A} \\ &= \frac{1}{V} \partial_{\hat{\mathbf{w}}} \bar{f} \cdot \bar{\mathcal{I}}_\perp + \frac{\partial_A \bar{f}}{V_A} \hat{\mathbf{w}} - \frac{\partial_A \bar{f}}{V V_A} \partial_{\hat{\mathbf{w}}} V \cdot \bar{\mathcal{I}}_\perp, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

while (25) gives

$$\nabla_* \bar{f} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \bar{\mathbf{q}}} - \frac{V_{B_i}}{V_A} \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial \bar{\mathbf{q}}} \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial A} = \bar{\nabla} \bar{f} - \frac{V_{B_i}}{V_A} \bar{\nabla} B_i \partial_A \bar{f}. \quad (29)$$

Then, inserting (28) and (29) into (18) gives the following complicated expression for the particle bracket $[,]$ in the new coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} [\bar{f}, \bar{g}] &= \nabla_* \bar{f} \cdot D_* \bar{g} - \nabla_* \bar{g} \cdot D_* \bar{f} + e \mathbf{B} \cdot (D_* \bar{f} \times D_* \bar{g}) \\ &= \frac{1}{V} \left(\bar{\nabla} \bar{f} \cdot \bar{\mathcal{I}}_\perp \cdot \partial_{\hat{\mathbf{w}}} \bar{g} - \bar{\nabla} \bar{g} \cdot \bar{\mathcal{I}}_\perp \cdot \partial_{\hat{\mathbf{w}}} \bar{f} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{w}}}{V_A} \cdot (\bar{\nabla} \bar{f} \partial_A \bar{g} - \bar{\nabla} \bar{g} \partial_A \bar{f}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial_{\hat{\mathbf{w}}} V \cdot \bar{\mathcal{I}}_\perp}{V V_A} \cdot (\bar{\nabla} \bar{g} \partial_A \bar{f} - \bar{\nabla} \bar{f} \partial_A \bar{g}) \\ &\quad + \frac{V_{B_i}}{V V_A} \bar{\nabla} B_i \cdot \bar{\mathcal{I}}_\perp \cdot (\partial_{\hat{\mathbf{w}}} \bar{f} \partial_A \bar{g} - \partial_{\hat{\mathbf{w}}} \bar{g} \partial_A \bar{f}) \\ &\quad + \frac{e \mathbf{B}}{V^2} \cdot \left(\partial_{\hat{\mathbf{w}}} \bar{f} \cdot \bar{\mathcal{I}}_\perp \right) \times \left(\partial_{\hat{\mathbf{w}}} \bar{g} \cdot \bar{\mathcal{I}}_\perp \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{e \mathbf{B} \times \hat{\mathbf{w}}}{V V_A} \cdot (\partial_{\hat{\mathbf{w}}} \bar{g} \partial_A \bar{f} - \partial_{\hat{\mathbf{w}}} \bar{f} \partial_A \bar{g}) \\ &\quad - \frac{e \mathbf{B}}{V^2 V_A} \times \left(\bar{\mathcal{I}}_\perp \cdot \partial_{\hat{\mathbf{w}}} V \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \bar{\mathcal{I}}_\perp \cdot (\partial_{\hat{\mathbf{w}}} \bar{g} \partial_A \bar{f} - \partial_{\hat{\mathbf{w}}} \bar{f} \partial_A \bar{g}). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Now consider the functional chain rule as above,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta F &= \int dz F_f \delta f + \int d^3 q (F_{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{B} + F_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{E}) \\ &= \int dw \bar{F}_{\bar{f}} \delta \bar{f} + \int d^3 q (\bar{F}_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}} \cdot \delta \bar{\mathbf{B}} + \bar{F}_{\bar{\mathbf{E}}} \cdot \delta \bar{\mathbf{E}}), \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Functionally varying $f(\mathbf{q}, V, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) = \bar{f}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, A, \hat{\mathbf{w}})$ gives

$$\delta f = \delta \bar{f} + \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial A} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \mathbf{B}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{B}, \quad (32)$$

while $\delta \mathbf{B} = \delta \bar{\mathbf{B}}$ and $\delta \mathbf{E} = \delta \bar{\mathbf{E}}$. Whence, upon substitution of (32) into (31), the chain rule on functionals is obtained,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta F}{\delta f} &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{J}} \frac{\delta \bar{F}}{\delta \bar{f}}, \\ \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{E}} &= \frac{\delta \bar{F}}{\delta \bar{\mathbf{E}}}, \\ \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{B}} &= \frac{\delta \bar{F}}{\delta \bar{\mathbf{B}}} - \int d\eta \frac{\partial A}{\partial \mathbf{B}} \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial A} \frac{\delta \bar{F}}{\delta \bar{f}}, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where the last expression of (33) can be written in a more convenient way as

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta \mathbf{B}} = \frac{\delta \bar{F}}{\delta \bar{\mathbf{B}}} + \int d\eta \frac{V_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}}}{V_A} \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial A} \frac{\delta \bar{F}}{\delta \bar{f}}.$$

This follows from

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial \mathbf{B}} = -\frac{V_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}}}{V_A},$$

which comes about because the change in A induced by a change in \mathbf{B} at fixed V and $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$, satisfies $0 = \delta V = V_A \delta A + V_{\bar{B}_i} \delta \bar{B}_i$.

Finally, the Maxwell-Vlasov bracket in the coordinates $(\mathbf{q}, A, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, G\} &= \int d\eta d^3 q \mathcal{J} f [\mathcal{J}^{-1} F_f, \mathcal{J}^{-1} G_f] \\ &+ e \int d\eta d^3 q \mathcal{J} f (G_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot D_* \mathcal{J}^{-1} F_f - F_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot D_* \mathcal{J}^{-1} G_f) \\ &+ \int d^3 q \left(F_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \times \left[G_{\mathbf{B}} + \int d\eta \frac{V_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}}}{V_A} \frac{\partial f}{\partial A} \frac{\delta G}{\delta f} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - G_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \times \left[F_{\mathbf{B}} + \int d\eta \frac{V_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}}}{V_A} \frac{\partial f}{\partial A} \frac{\delta F}{\delta f} \right] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where the particle bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is given by (30), D_* is the operator defined by (28), and the bars have been dropped.

VI. LIFTING WITH NONLOCAL DEPENDENCE ON \mathbf{B}

In order to include the physical coordinates where A is the magnetic moment μ , the last step is to consider the case where the coordinate transformation involves derivatives of the

magnetic field. This is important because perturbative reductions, such as those based on Lie-transforms [19–21] or mixed variable generating functions [22], often involve derivatives to arbitrary high order in the fields.

So, a more general transformation to new coordinates $(\mathbf{q}, V, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \leftrightarrow (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, A, \hat{\mathbf{w}})$ is considered:

$$\mathbf{q} = \bar{\mathbf{q}}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{v}} = \hat{\mathbf{w}}, \quad \text{and} \quad V = V[A, \hat{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{B}], \quad (35)$$

where now $V[A, \hat{\mathbf{w}}, \mathbf{B}]$ means a transformation that depends on \mathbf{B} and, possibly, all its derivatives. Clearly, invertibility requires $V_A := \partial V / \partial A \neq 0$, as in Sec. V. Since the first two equations above are identities, as before eventually $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ will be used for $\hat{\mathbf{w}}$ and \mathbf{q} for $\bar{\mathbf{q}}$.

The Jacobian for this transformation is again

$$dz = V^2 V_A dA d\Omega d^3 q = \mathcal{J} dA d\Omega d^3 q =: \mathcal{J} d\eta d^3 q =: \mathcal{J} dw.$$

but now \mathcal{J} depends on \mathbf{q} through \mathbf{B} and its derivatives.

For the chain rule on functions or functionals, $f(\mathbf{q}, V, \hat{\mathbf{v}}) = \bar{f}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, A, \hat{\mathbf{w}})$ is varied as in Sec. V, and all terms are the same as before, except some slight changes in the relations involving derivatives with respect to the magnetic field. Indeed, the Fréchet derivative with respect to \mathbf{B} is now a differential operator, and care must be taken with the order of terms. For instance, relation (25) becomes

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \bar{\mathbf{q}}} - \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial A} \frac{1}{V_A} V_{B_i} \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial \mathbf{q}}, \quad (36)$$

where V_{B_i} is now a differential operator acting on $\partial B_i / \partial \mathbf{q}$. Formulae (29)-(30) must be changed accordingly.

As for relations (32)-(33), variation is performed slightly differently this time as follows:

$$\delta \bar{f} = \delta f + f_V V_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}} \cdot \delta \bar{\mathbf{B}},$$

where $V_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}}$ is the Fréchet derivative operating on $\delta \bar{\mathbf{B}}$. Thus the chain rule for functional derivatives gives

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta \bar{\mathbf{B}}} = \frac{\delta \bar{F}}{\delta \bar{\mathbf{B}}} + \int d\eta V_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}}^\dagger \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial V} \frac{\delta \bar{F}}{\delta \bar{f}} \right) = \frac{\delta \bar{F}}{\delta \bar{\mathbf{B}}} + \int d\eta V_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}}^\dagger \left(\frac{\bar{F}_{\bar{f}}}{V_A} \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial A} \right),$$

where the adjoint \dagger is done with respect to dw .

Finally, the Maxwell-Vlasov bracket (34) in these coordinates becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\{F, G\} &= \int d\eta d^3q \mathcal{J} f [\mathcal{J}^{-1} F_f, \mathcal{J}^{-1} G_f] \\
&+ e \int d\eta d^3q \mathcal{J} f (G_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot D_* \mathcal{J}^{-1} F_f - F_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot D_* \mathcal{J}^{-1} G_f) \\
&+ \int d^3q \left(F_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \times \left[G_{\mathbf{B}} + \int d\eta V_{\mathbf{B}}^\dagger \left(\frac{G_f}{V_A} \frac{\partial f}{\partial A} \right) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - G_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \times \left[F_{\mathbf{B}} + \int d\eta V_{\mathbf{B}}^\dagger \left(\frac{F_f}{V_A} \frac{\partial f}{\partial A} \right) \right] \right) .
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

VII. AN EXAMPLE USING THE MAGNETIC MOMENT

With the transformed bracket (37), the first thing to be checked is whether the dynamics agrees with the conservation of the magnetic moment, when appropriate, since this is what suggested the reduction in the first place. To this end, suppose the coordinate A is the magnetic moment, $A := \mu(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v})$, which to lowest order is given by $A = \|\mathbf{v}_\perp\|^2 / \|\mathbf{B}\|$. To get a true conserved quantity, small corrections must be added to all orders in the Larmor radius, including derivatives of all orders in the magnetic field [16, 19]. Thus, $A = \|\mathbf{v}_\perp\|^2 / \|\mathbf{B}\| + O(\epsilon)$ is defined as solution of the following equation

$$0 = \dot{\mu} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mu + e \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{v}} \mu .$$

At the field level, the conservation of the magnetic moment corresponds to the conservation of the functional

$$M := \int dz f \mu$$

for any particle distribution f . In the transformed coordinates, this is

$$\bar{M} := \int dw \mathcal{J} \bar{f} \mu .$$

To investigate the conservation of \bar{M} , note that a static magnetic field corresponds to elimination of the electric field term in the Hamiltonian functional, since this eliminates the $\nabla \times \mathbf{E}$ term in the Maxwell-Faraday equation. In this case

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\bar{M}} &= \{\bar{M}, \bar{H}\} = \int d\eta d^3q \mathcal{J} \bar{f} [\mu, \mathcal{J}^{-1} \bar{H}_{\bar{f}}] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int d\eta d^3q \mathcal{J} \bar{f} (\nabla_* \mu \cdot D_* V^2 + e \mathbf{B} \cdot D_* \mu \times D_* V^2) \\
&= \int d^3v d^3q f (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mu + \mathbf{v} \times e \mathbf{B} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{v}} \mu) = 0 ,
\end{aligned}$$

as was expected.

Accordingly, the transformed bracket (37) is expressed in coordinates adapted to the conserved magnetic moment. As is usual in gyrokinetics, the electromagnetic field dynamics spoils the conservation of the magnetic moment. This is why the feed-back of the plasma dynamics onto the electromagnetic field dynamics needs to be restored as a perturbation, i.e., a perturbed magnetic moment must be defined that is conserved [17].

Consider now the transformed Maxwell-Vlasov equations of motion generated by the bracket (37). In this bracket, most of the terms are actually identical to those of the initial bracket (2), even though their formal expressions look different because they are expressed in the reduced coordinates $(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, A, \hat{\mathbf{w}})$, e.g. through formulae (28) and (30). The only new terms are

$$\int d^3q \bar{F}_{\bar{\mathbf{E}}} \cdot \nabla \times \int d\eta V_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}}^\dagger \left(\frac{\bar{G}_f}{V_A} \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial A} \right),$$

and one obtained by permuting \bar{F} and \bar{G} (and with a minus sign for bracket antisymmetry).

In the equations of motion, this new bracket term generates an additional term in Maxwell-Ampere equation, viz.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\bar{\mathbf{E}}} = & \nabla \times \bar{H}_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}} - e \int d\eta \mathcal{J} \bar{f} D_* (\mathcal{J}^{-1} \bar{H}_{\bar{f}}) \\ & + \nabla \times \int d\eta V_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}}^\dagger \left(\frac{\bar{H}_f}{V_A} \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial A} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

At first glance this additional term looks like a new magnetization current. But, one must remember that the usual $\nabla \times \mathbf{B}$ term has itself another additional contribution $\nabla \times \delta \bar{H}_{kin} / \delta \bar{\mathbf{B}}$, because in the reduced variables, the plasma kinetic energy depends on the magnetic field $\bar{H}_{kin} := \int dw \mathcal{J} \bar{f} V^2 / 2$ that is not constant in $\bar{\mathbf{B}}$ (both because of \mathcal{J} and V). And, it turns

out that this last additional contribution exactly cancels the “magnetization” term in (38):

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta \bar{H}_{kin}}{\delta \bar{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{x})} &= \frac{1}{2} \int dw \bar{f} (\mathcal{J} V^2)_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}} \delta(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{x}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int dw \bar{f} (\partial_A V \cdot V^4)_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}} \delta(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{x}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{10} \int dw \partial_A \bar{f} (V^5)_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}} \delta(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{x}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{10} \int dw \partial_A \bar{f} (V^5)_V V_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}} \delta(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{x}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int dw \partial_A \bar{f} \mathcal{J} V^2 V_A V_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}} \delta(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{x}) \\
&= - \int dw \partial_A \bar{f} \frac{\bar{H}_{\bar{f}}}{V_A} V_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}} \delta(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{x}) \\
&= - \int d\eta V_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}}^\dagger \left(\frac{\bar{H}_{\bar{f}}}{V_A} \partial_A \bar{f} \right) .
\end{aligned}$$

This cancellation was to be expected, since the electric field $\mathbf{E} = \bar{\mathbf{E}}$ is not affected by the change of velocity coordinates, and the current term has not been changed either, but only expressed in the new variables:

$$-e \int d\eta \mathcal{J} \bar{f} D_* (\mathcal{J}^{-1} \bar{H}_{\bar{f}}) = -\frac{e}{2} \int d^3v f \partial_v (\mathcal{J}^{-1} \mathcal{J} \|\mathbf{v}\|^2) = -\mathbf{J} .$$

Finally, the additional term in the transformed bracket (37) generates another additional term in the equation of motion: the dynamics of the Vlasov phase space density \dot{f} has an additional force term

$$-\frac{1}{V_A} \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial A} V_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}} \cdot \nabla \times \bar{\mathbf{E}} .$$

This term is not cancelled by any other term. It can be rewritten as

$$-\frac{\partial f}{\partial V} V_{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial V} V_{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{B}} ,$$

which is exactly the expected contribution when applying the chain rule for the time derivative of the transformed fields. It comes about because the change of coordinates is time-dependent when the magnetic field is not static.

VIII. CONCLUSION

In summary, in this paper techniques for transforming the Vlasov-Maxwell Poisson bracket to new coordinates, when the transformation law mixes dependent and independent

variables, have been developed. Four transformations were considered, each of which considered a new feature needed for understanding the more general transformation of (35). In Sec. III a transformation that mixed the independent velocity variable with the magnetic field was considered and the associated function and functional chain rules were described. In Sec. IV, spherical velocity coordinates were treated and here it was seen how a nontrivial Jacobian determinant influences a transformation. In Sec. V a class of transformations that mixes the dependent and independent variables by having dependence on \mathbf{B} and in addition possesses a nontrivial Jacobian was considered. Finally, in Sec. VI, the nonlocal transformation of (35) was effected, the most general transformation of this paper that results in the transformed noncanonical Poisson bracket of (37). This final form of the Poisson bracket was seen to contain additional terms that appear to be magnetization-like contributions. However, these bracket terms were shown to produce no magnetization term in the equations of motion, since the electromagnetic fields are not affected by the change of field coordinates. Only the dynamics of the Vlasov density obtained an additional term, a term that results from the change of field coordinates being time-dependent through \mathbf{B} .

The transformations of Secs. III–VI paved the way for the simple example of Sec. VII. Here the dynamics was reduced by dropping the electric field energy from the Hamiltonian, resulting in the magnetic moment being conserved by a reduced dynamics that must have a static magnetic field. However, when restoring the feed-back of the plasma dynamics onto the electromagnetic field dynamics, the magnetic moment was seen to be no longer conserved and must be perturbatively changed to be conserved.

In all the cases considered, the lifting was eased because the change of coordinates only concerned a new particle velocity that depends on the magnetic field, but no change was made in the spatial coordinate. If Eq. (1) is generalized by adding dependence on the electric field and all its derivatives, then results similar to those presented are immediate. However, if the new spatial variable has velocity and field dependence, then the situation becomes considerably more complex. Such transformations are of interest for some oscillation-center, guiding-center, and gyrokinetic theory development, and the same methods of function and functional chain rule can be used, but some additional effects will show up, e.g., non-zero polarization and magnetization terms like those of [12]. Details of the magnetic moment reduction will be given in [19] and more general lifting will be considered in a future publication.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We acknowledge financial support from the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR GYPSI). This work was also supported by the European Community under the contract of Association between EURATOM, CEA, and the French Research Federation for fusion study. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission. PJM was supported by U.S. Department of Energy contract # DE-FG05-80ET-53088. The authors also acknowledge fruitful discussions with Alain Brizard, and with members of the Équipe de Dynamique Nonlinéaire of the Centre de Physique Théorique of Marseille.

-
- [1] C. L. Siegel and J. K. Moser, *Lectures on Celestial Mechanics* (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1971).
 - [2] M. Born, *The Mechanics of the Atom* (F. Ungar, New York, 1967).
 - [3] M. Kruskal, J. Math. Phys. **3**, 806 (1962).
 - [4] R. de la Llave, *Introduction to KAM theory*, in Computational Physics (World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 1992) pp. 73–105.
 - [5] J. Henrard, *The adiabatic invariant in classical dynamics*, Dynamics Reported 2, (Springer, Berlin, 1993) p. 117 (new series).
 - [6] P. J. Morrison and J. M. Greene, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 790, (1980); E **48**, 569 (1982).
 - [7] P. J. Morrison, AIP Conf. Proc. **88**, 13 (1982).
 - [8] P. J. Morrison, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 467 (1998).
 - [9] P. J. Morrison, Phys. Lett. **80A**, 383 (1980).
 - [10] A. Weinstein and P. J. Morrison, Phys. Lett. A **86**, 235 (1981).
 - [11] J. E. Marsden and A. Weinstein, Physica D **4**, 394 (1982).
 - [12] P. J. Morrison, “A general theory of gauge-free lifting,” arXiv:1210.6564 [physics.plasm-ph], submitted to Phys. Plasmas (2012).
 - [13] E. A. Frieman and L. Chen, Phys. Fluids **25**, 502 (1982).
 - [14] R. G. Littlejohn, Phys. Fluids **27**, 976 (1984).
 - [15] A. J. Brizard and A. Mischenko, J. Plas. Phys. **75**, 675 (2009).
 - [16] J. R. Cary and A. J. Brizard, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 693 (2009).

- [17] A. J. Brizard and T. S. Hahm, Rev. Mod. Phys. **79**, 421 (2007).
- [18] P. J. Morrison, Phys. Plasmas **12**, 058102 (2005).
- [19] L. de Guillebon, N. Tronko, M. Vittot, and Ph. Ghendrih, “Dynamical reduction for charged particles in a strong magnetic field without guiding-center”, in preparation.
- [20] J. R. Cary, Phys. Reports **79**, 129 (1981).
- [21] R. G. Littlejohn, J. Math. Phys. **23**, 742 (1982).
- [22] D. Correa-Restrepo and D. Pfirsch, J. Plasma Phys. **71**, 1 (2005).